

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		• .			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/004,157	10/31/2001	Beth T. Logan	200301980-2	8521	
75	590 07/02/2004		EXAMI	NER	
IP ADMINISTRATION, LEGAL DEPARTMENT, M/S 35,			MAHMOUDI, HASSAN		
HEWLETT - PACKARD COMPANY,					
P. O. BOX 272	00.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COLLINS,, CO 80527-2400			2175	٨.	
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004	(O.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	1						
Examiner Tony Mahmoudi 2175		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Tony Mahmoudi The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RELY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for reply specified above in lates that histy (20) deys, a step specified above in lates that histy (20) deys, a step specified above in lates that histy (20) deys, a step specified above in lates that histy (20) deys, a step specified above in lates that histy (20) deys, a step specified above in lates that histy (20) deys, a step specified above in lates that histy (20) deys, a step specified in the communication. If the period for reply specified above in lates that histy (20) deys, a step specified in the communication. If the period for reply specified above in lates that histy (20) deys, a step specified to become ABANCONEO, 30 styre to considered think, communication. If the period for reply specified above in lates that histy (20) deys, a step specified to become ABANCONEO, 30 styre dependent of the communication. If the period for reply specified above in lates that histy (20) deys, a step specified to become ABANCONEO, 30 styre dependent of the specified above in lates that histy (20) deys, a step specified above in lates that histy (20) deys, a step specified above in lates that histy (20) deys, a step specified above in lates that histy (20) deys, a step specified above in lates that histy (20) deys, a step specified above in lates that histy (20) deys, a step specified above in lates that histy (20) deys, a step specified above in lates that histy (20) deys, a step specified above in lates that histy (20) deys, as specified above in lates that histy (20) deys, as specified above in lates that histy (20) deys, as specified above in lates that histy (20) deys, as specified above in lates that histy (20) deys, as specified above in lates that histy (20) deys, as specified above in lates that histy (20		10/004,157	LOGAN ET AL.				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Elementor of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.13(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after 90 (X e) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Elementor of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.13(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after 90 (X e) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Foliate is reply as specified sows, the maximum station primbly all apply within the databox primbly and will against 50 (MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Foliate is reply as specified above, the maximum station primbly and will against 50 (MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Foliate is reply as specified or reply vall, by statistion primbly and available, asset the application is become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Are reply which the set of certain primbly filled, may reduce any security primble of the communication. Proper tools will be set or extended primble of the reply will, by statistic, cause the application is become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Are reply within the set of the communication. The Responsive to communication(s) filled on	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. and SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is appelled above, the maintains date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the maintains detailed year which the distultory minimum of thirty (20) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply is appelled above, the maintains detailed year and will exply and will appell SIX (6) MCNTS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is appelled above, the maintains detailed years and the statutory minimum of thirty (20) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply is appelled above, the maintain date of this communication. If the period considered the official communication is the think of the maintain date of this communication. Any reply received by the Official then there monities after the mailing date of this communication, were if timely filed, may reduce any search application and plustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		Tony Mahmoudi	2175				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. **Edentuals of the many be available under the providers of 3 CPR 1.75(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed **Edentuals of the many be available under the providers of 3 CPR 1.75(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed **Edentuals of the period for reply specified above is lies than thirty (00 days, a eply which the statutory principal for reply is period for reply appearable down, in the many of the maning date of this communication for reply in specified above, he manimum statutory principal days and villagery at 50 (h) AUTHS from the maning date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search of period of the communication is provided by the communication is provided by the communication is provided by the communication is non-final. **3 Claim (s) 1-48 is/are pending in the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.** **Disposition of Claims** **4 Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application. **4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are rejected. **5 Claim(s) 1-48 is/are rejected. **5 Claim(s) 1-48 is/are rejected to. **5 Claim(s) 1-48 is/are rejected to. **5 Claim(s) 1-48 is/are rejected to. **5 Claim(s) 1-48 is/are rejected to by the Examiner. **10 The drawing(s) filed on 1 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. **Application Papers** **9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. **10 Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the provided in the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). **Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). **11 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the Inte		pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron e, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)	Status						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) All bolice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Internation Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	,						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. SUPERNISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6 and 9.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Supervisory Patent Examiner** **Supervisory Patent Examiner** **Doy PopPovici** **Supervisory Patent Examiner** **Supervisory Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6 and 9. **Supervisory Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449 or PTO/5B/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6 and 9. **Supervisory Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: **Supervisory Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449 or PTO/5B/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6 and 9. **Supervisory Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449 or PTO/5B/08) **Supervisory Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449 or PTO/5B/08) **Supervisory Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449 or PTO/5B/08) **Supervisory Patent Dra	Disposition of Claims						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6 and 9.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	wn from consideration.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. DOV POPOVICI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6 and 9. 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) ☐ Other:	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Dov Popovici** SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Pafsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6 and 9. **Other:			Examiner				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6 and 9.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)		-, ,	· ·				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2109 Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6 and 9. 10 ☐ Other:	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2109 Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6 and 9. 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. DOV POPOVICI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2109 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6 and 9. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:	a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stage ed. DOV POPOVICI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6 and 9. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informat Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:		4) Therview Summan					
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6 and 9.	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claim 1-2, 6, and 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by <u>Yourlo</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,201,176.)

As to claim 1, <u>Yourlo</u> teaches a method for determining similarity between a plurality of musical works (see Abstract, and see column 1, lines 5-10) comprising the steps of:

obtaining respective digitized audio files of the plurality of musical works (see column 1, lines 37-47, and see column 7, lines 64-66);

for each musical work in the plurality, forming (i) a spectral representation from the corresponding audio file (see column 2, lines 29-32, and see column 7, lines 9-21) and (ii) a rhythmic beat representation from the corresponding audio file (see column 1, lines 43-47, and see column 5, lines 37-42);

for a given musical work of interest:

- (a) comparing its spectral representation to the spectral representations of the musical works in the plurality (see column 2, lines 3-9, and see column 2, lines 29-43);
- (b) comparing its rhythmic beat representation to the rhythmic beat representations of the musical works in the plurality (see column 6, lines 30-38); and
- (c) summing, including respective weighting of results of the comparisons in (a) and (b), (see column 5, lines 58-65, and see column 6, lines 49-53) the summed results providing an indication of which musical works in the plurality are similar to the given musical work of interest (see column 10, lines 16-57, and see column 11, lines 10-26.)

As to claims 2 and 16, <u>Yourlo</u> teaches wherein forming a spectral representation includes dividing the corresponding audio file into a plurality of frames (see column 5, line 66 through column 6, line 5.)

As to claim 6, <u>Yourlo</u> teaches further comprising performing a windowing function on each frame (see column 3, lines 20-28.)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Cor Number: 10/004,157

Art Unit: 2175

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-5, 7-13, 17-32, 35-36, and 39-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Yourlo</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,201,176) in view of <u>Hoory et al</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,678,655.)

As to claims 3 and 17, <u>Yourlo</u> does not teach converting each frame to a spectral representation to obtain a plurality of spectral representations for the audio file.

Hoory et al teaches a method for speech recognition (see Abstract), in which he teaches converting each frame to a spectral representation to obtain a plurality of spectral representations for the audio file (see column 2, lines 5-20.)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Yourlo</u> to include converting each frame to a spectral representation to obtain a plurality of spectral representations for the audio file.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Yourlo</u> by the teaching of <u>Hoory et al</u>, because converting each frame to a spectral representation to obtain a plurality of spectral representations for the audio file, would enable the system to assign weights to a plurality of audio file features, for comparing and retrieval of an audio file best matching the desired audio features.

Application/Cor Number: 10/004,157

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 4, Yourlo as modified, teaches wherein the spectral representation includes a vector of Mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (see <u>Hoory et al</u>, column 2, lines 55-62, and see column 4, lines 39-42.)

As to claims 5 and 18, <u>Yourlo</u> as modified, teaches wherein each spectral representation includes a plurality of Mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (see <u>Hoory</u> et al, column 5, lines 10-14.)

As to claim 7, Yourlo does not teach applying a Hamming window on each frame.

Hoory et al teaches applying a Hamming window on each frame (see column 4, lines 45-54, and see column 5, lines 35-39.)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Yourlo to include applying a Hamming window on each frame.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Yourlo</u> by the teaching of <u>Hoory et al</u>, because applying a Hamming window on each frame, would result in the line spectrum, corresponding to each base function sampled at the pitch frequency multiples, to be converted to a DFT spectrum (see <u>Hoory et al</u>, column 5, lines 35-38.)

As to claim 8, Yourlo does not teach applying a pre-emphasis on each frame.

Hoory et al teaches applying a pre-emphasis on each frame (see column 4, lines

45-50.)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Yourlo</u> to include applying a pre-emphasis on each frame.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Yourlo</u> by the teaching of <u>Hoory et al</u>, because applying a pre-emphasis on each frame, would pre-emphasize the input speech signal, which is then fed to the windowing for Ham transformation (see <u>Hoory et al</u>, column 4, lines 46-50.)

As to claim 9, <u>Yourlo</u> as modified, teaches subjecting data from each frame to a Fast Fourier Transform function to obtain a frequency domain signal for each frame (see <u>Hoory et al</u>, column 4, lines 50-54.)

As to claim 10, Yourlo as modified, teaches warping a log amplitude of each frequency signal to a Mel-frequency scale (see <u>Hoory et al</u>, column 4, lines 54-66.)

As to claim 11, <u>Yourlo</u> as modified, teaches subjecting the warped frequency function to a second Fast Fourier Transform to obtain a parameter set of Mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (see <u>Hoory et al</u>, column 5, lines 35-64.)

As to claim 12, <u>Yourlo</u> as modified, teaches subjecting the frequency domain signal for each frame to a set of triangular filters to obtain a plurality of Mel-frequency spaced components (see <u>Hoory et al</u>, column 4, lines 50-54, and see column 5, line 40 through column 6, line 9.)

As to claim 13, <u>Yourlo</u> as modified, teaches subjecting the Mel-frequency spaced components to a discrete cosine transform function to obtain a plurality of Mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (see <u>Hoory et al.</u>, column 5, lines 15-20.)

As to claim 19, <u>Yourlo</u> as modified, teaches computing a similarity matrix for the audio file (see <u>Yourlo</u>, column 4, lines 6-7.)

As to claims 20 and 21, <u>Yourlo</u> as modified, teaches computing a beat spectrogram for the audio file (see <u>Yourlo</u>, column 12, lines 1-9.)

As to claim 22, <u>Yourlo</u> as modified, teaches normalizing the histogram to account for the total number of frames of the audio file 9see <u>Yourlo</u>, column 8, line 58 through column 9, line 9.)

As to claim 23, <u>Yourlo</u> as modified, teaches calculating a distance between a pair of histograms (see <u>Yourlo</u>, column 13, lines 16-37.)

As to claim 24, <u>Yourlo</u> as modified, teaches wherein calculating the distance includes calculating the closest distance between the pair of histograms (see <u>Yourlo</u>, column 14, lines 28-33.)

As to claim 25, <u>Yourlo</u> as modified, teaches wherein the closest distance is the minimum of the sum of absolute differences between bins of each histogram calculated over a range of scalings of each histogram (see <u>Yourlo</u>, column 14, lines 34-47.)

As to claim 26, <u>Yourlo</u> as modified, teaches applying a function to each histogram to weight certain bins (see <u>Yourlo</u>, column 6, lines 49-53.)

As to claim 27, <u>Yourlo</u> as modified, teaches scaling each histogram at least twice to allow for slight differences between musical works (see <u>Yourlo</u>, column 11, lines 36-67.)

As to claim 28, <u>Yourlo</u> as modified, teaches wherein for each scale factor, one histogram is resampled by a factor and compared to the unsealed histogram (see <u>Yourlo</u>, column 6, lines 14-20.)

As to claim 29, <u>Yourlo</u> as modified, teaches generating a set of similar musical works (see <u>Yourlo</u>, column 10, line 58 through column 11, line 9.)

Application/Con Number: 10/004,157

Art Unit: 2175

As to claims 30, 41 and 44, <u>Yourlo</u> as modified, teaches visually displaying the musical works in a manner illustrating relative similarities or dissimilarities (see <u>Yourlo</u>, column 12, lines 33-44.)

As to claim 31, <u>Yourlo</u> as modified, teaches calculating a relative distance between each pair of musical works (see <u>Yourlo</u>, Abstract, and see column 3, lines 19-38.)

As to claim 32, <u>Yourlo</u> as modified, teaches constructing a matrix of song similarity based on the relative distance (see <u>Yourlo</u>, column 2, lines 51-57.)

As to claims 35 and 36, the applicant is directed to the remarks and discussions made in claim 1 above.

As to claim 39, <u>Yourlo</u> as modified teaches further comprising providing a respective reliability measure associated with each representation (see <u>Yourlo</u>, column 8, line 63 through column 9, line 9.)

As to claim 40, <u>Yourlo</u> as modified, teaches wherein the step of summing includes weighting results of the comparisons as a function of reliability measures of the representations compared (see <u>Yourlo</u>, column 9, line 10 through column 11, line 57.)

As to claim 42, the applicant is directed to the remarks and discussions made in claim 1 above.

As to claim 43, <u>Yourlo</u> as modified, teaches weighting the summed results (see <u>Yourlo</u>, column 6, lines 49-63.)

As to claim 45, <u>Yourlo</u> teaches a computer program product for determining similarity between a plurality of musical works, the computer program product including a computer usable medium having computer readable code thereon (see column 15, lines 28-42), including program code which:

For the remaining steps of this claim, the applicant is directed to the remarks and discussions made in claim 1 above.

As to claim 46, <u>Yourlo</u> teaches a computer data signal embodied in a carrier wave for (see column 5, line 66 through column 6, line 14) determining similarity between a plurality of musical works (see Abstract), comprising program code (see column 22, lines 14-28.):

For the remaining steps of this claim, the applicant is directed to the remarks and discussions made in claim 1 above.

As to claim 47, <u>Yourlo</u> teaches a computer system (see column 15, lines 28-55) comprising:

a processor (see column 15, line 66 through column 16, line 1;

a memory system connected to the processor (see column 16, lines 1-2); and a computer program, in the memory (see column 20, lines 29-32) which determines similarity between a plurality of musical works.

For the remaining steps of this claim, the applicant is directed to the remarks and discussions made in claim 1 above.

As to claim 48, <u>Yourlo</u> teaches a system for determining similarity between a plurality of musical works, (see Abstract) the system comprising:

For the remaining steps of this claim, the applicant is directed to the remarks and discussions made in claim 1 above.

5. Claims 14-15, and 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yourlo (U.S. Patent No. 6,201,176) in view of Hoory et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,678,655), as applied to claims 3-5, 7-13, 17-32, 35-36, and 39-48 above, and further in view of Walker et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,710,822.)

As to claim 14, <u>Yourlo</u> as modified, still does not teach clustering the spectral representations of the audio file to obtain a spectral signature for the audio file.

Walker et al teaches signal processing method (see Abstract), in which he teaches clustering the spectral representations of the audio file to obtain a spectral signature for the audio file (see column 5, lines 16-35, and see column 9, lines 42-56.)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Yourlo</u> as modified, to include

clustering the spectral representations of the audio file to obtain a spectral signature for the audio file.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Yourlo</u> as modified, by the teaching of <u>Walker et al</u>, because clustering the spectral representations of the audio file to obtain a spectral signature for the audio file, would enable the system to distinguish voice segments (see <u>Walker et al</u>, column 5, lines 26-28.)

As to claim 15, <u>Yourlo</u> as modified, teaches comparing the spectral signatures of two different audio files using an Earth Mover's Distance (see <u>Walker et al</u>, column 12, lines 1-45.)

As to claim 33, <u>Yourlo</u> as modified still does not teach performing a Multidimensional scaling on the matrix to obtain coordinates in K-dimensional space for each musical work, one coordinate per song.

Walker et al teaches performing a Multi-dimensional scaling on the matrix to obtain coordinates in K-dimensional space for each musical work, one coordinate per song (see column 11, line 41 through column 12, line 7.)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Yourlo</u> as modified, to include performing a Multi-dimensional scaling on the matrix to obtain coordinates in K-dimensional space for each musical work, one coordinate per song.

Application/Con Number: 10/004,157

Art Unit: 2175

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Yourlo</u> as modified, by the teaching of <u>Walker et al</u>, because performing a Multi-dimensional scaling on the matrix to obtain coordinates in K-dimensional space for each musical work, one coordinate per song, would enable the system to measure differences between various features of various songs.

As to claim 34, <u>Yourlo</u> as modified teaches plotting the coordinates (see <u>Yourlo</u>, column 9, lines 13-15.)

6. Claims 37 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yourlo (U.S. Patent No. 6,201,176) in view of Hoory et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,678,655), as applied to claims 3-5, 7-13, 17-32, 35-36, and 39-48 above, and further in view of Bloom et al (U.S. Patent No. 4,591,928.)

As to claim 37, <u>Yourlo</u> as modified still does not teach the step of preprocessing the audio files before forming the different representations for each musical work.

Bloom et al teaches a method of processing audio signals (see Abstract), in which he teaches preprocessing the audio files before forming the different representations for each musical work (see column 22, lines 30-46, and see column 23, lines 22-60.)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Yourlo</u> as modified, to include

preprocessing the audio files before forming the different representations for each musical work.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Yourlo</u> as modified, by the teaching of <u>Bloom et al</u>, because preprocessing the audio files before forming the different representations for each musical work, would allow the system to filter out any noise in the audio file prior to using the file as input to the comparison unit for similarities between musical works.

As to claim 38, <u>Yourlo</u> as modified, teaches wherein the step of preprocessing includes omitting relatively long pauses (see <u>Bloom et al</u>, column (see column 22, lines 30-46, and see column 23, lines 22-60.)

Conclusion

7. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony Mahmoudi whose telephone number is (703) 305-4887. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays from 08:00 am to 04:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici, can be reached at (703) 305-3830.

tm

June 25, 2004

DOV POPOVICI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100